



United States Patent and Trademark Office



ow

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/943,380	08/30/2001	S. Grant Mulholland	10303-2 US			
75	90 04/08/2003					
DANIEL A. MONACO, ESQ.			EXAMINER			
ONE LOGAN S	DDLE & REATH, LLP SQUARE IERRY STREETS		LAM, ANN Y			
	IA, PA 19103-6996		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3763	12		
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ 		
	Application N .		Applicant(s)	- 1			
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	09/943,380		MULHOLLAND E	T AL. '			
. Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
	Ann Y. Lam		3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspond nce address Period f r Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minin vill apply and will expire SI , cause the application to I	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iy. ommunication	n.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	lanuary 2003						
	is action is non-fin	al					
,_			ecoution as to th	ao morite	ic		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1	1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	ie ilielits	15		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-11,13-25,30-34,39-42,44-52 ar</u>	nd 57-64 is/are ner	nding in the appl	ication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	<u> </u>		ioddion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-11,13-25,30-36,39-52 and 57-6</u>	4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,12,26-29,37,38,43 and 53-56</u> is/a							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	-	nent.					
Application Papers	, oloololi roquiloli						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objecte	d to by the Exam	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved	d b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action	on.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·			l applicat	ion).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicatio	n has been rece	ived.	• •	,		
Attachment(s)	.o pilotity undoi oo	. 5.5.5. 33 120					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16, 17, 30, 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In these claims, Applicant claims a particular element to have a dimension that is relative to a patient's body part, i.e., the female urethra. The dimensions are indefinite because a patient's body part varies depending on which patient is being treated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-23, 30, 32-36, 39-50, 58-61 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mulholland, 6,464,670.

Art Unit: 3763

As to claims 1, 33, 61 and 64, Mulholland discloses a base member (16) that is non-meltable, see column 7, lines 46-49, and column 8, lines 10-12, and column 11, lines 14-19, and is sized to prevent insertion of said base member into said urethra, see column 10, lines 23-25; a reinforcement (12) that is non-meltable and has a length having a first end and a second end, said first end attached to said base member and projecting from said base member; and a meltable portion (i.e., the coating of meltable material, see column 11, lines 3-5, formed around a portion of said length of said reinforcement, said meltable portion having a diameter which tapers from said second end toward said first end, see Figure 1, said meltable portion sized for insertion into said urethra, column 10, line 24.

As to claims 2, 34, the base member (16) is shaped for handling.

As to claims 3, 4, 35, 36, the base member (16) is ellipsoid.

As to claims 7, 39, the base member (16) is sized to fit within the labia minora.

As to claims 8, 13, 22, 40, 44 and 49, the suppository is comprised of polymers, see column 7, lines 10-18.

As to claims 9, 10, 41, the reinforcement first end is embedded within the base member, and projects perpendicular from said base member, see column 8, lines 29-31.

As to claims 11, 42, the reinforcement (16) comprises a rod.

As to claim 14, the reinforcement is sized such that upon insertion into the urethra, the second end of the reinforcement is entirely within the meltable portion, (i.e., when reinforcement (16) is coated with meltable material.)

Art Unit: 3763

As to claims 16, 17, 59, 60, the reinforcement is considered to have the claimed dimensions.

As to claims 18-21, 45-48, the reinforcement (16) comprises a restraint.

As to claims 23, 50, the meltable portion comprises the therapeutic agents as claimed, see column 10, lines 63-66.

As to claim 30, the meltable portion is sized to fit entirely within the urethra.

As to claim 32, 58, the meltable portion is capable of melting within about 2 minutes to about 60 minutes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24, 25, 31, 51, 52, 57, 62 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulholland, 6,464,670.

Mulholland discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, as to claim 15, Mulholland does not disclose that the second end of the reinforcement extends outside the meltable portion. However, it would have been obvious to coat only part of the reinforcement member with therapeutic coating, see column 11, lines 16-18, and lines 27-32, in which case, the second end of the reinforcement would be considered to be extending outside the meltable portion.

Art Unit: 3763

As to claims 24, 25, 31, 51, 52 and 57, Mulholland does not disclose the dimensions as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to form the meltable portion having the dimensions as claimed, as would be necessary to fit inside a urethra, as taught by Giglio, see column 2, lines 66-67.

As to claims 62 and 63, it would have been obvious to wait for about 1 minute to about 10 hours to deliver the therapeutic agent, as may be necessary to deliver the agent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 12, 26-29, 37-38, 43, 53-56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is (703) 306-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 8-6:30.

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (703)308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

A.L. April 2, 2003

BRIAN L. CASLEH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700